



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/824,295 04/14/2004		Christopher J. Grubb	W200401 6057		
7:	590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
Shipley, Lawson & Jacob LLC			ELISCA, PIERRE E		
Suite 101					
324 E. 11th St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Kansas City, MO 64106			3621		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/824,295		GRUBB, CHRIST	OPHER J.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Pierre E. Eliso	ca	3621	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic				Idress
	or Reply				
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply were reply received by the Office later than three months aftended patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF THIS f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, I inication. utory period will apply and will ex it. by statute, cause the application.	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 22 December 2005	j .		
		b)⊠ This action is non-	=		
3)[Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i>	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in	the application.			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 16-35</u>	• •	consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requ	irement.		
Applica	tion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		objected to by the f	Examiner.	
-	Applicant may not request that any object				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t				FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P7	O-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been re	eceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority d			on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority documents	have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
	application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		
*	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified	copies not receive	d.	
Attachme	nt(s)				
1) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	152)
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTC	J-10Z)

Application/Control Number: 10/824,295 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's RCE filed on 12/22/2005.

2. Claims 1 and 3-15 are pending. Claims 2 and 16-35 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Conklin et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,141,653) and <u>Cole et al US 2002/0161707</u> A1 in view of Barker et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,931,916).

As per claims 1, 3, 4, 6-9, and 11-35 Conklin substantially discloses a multivariate negotiations engine for iterative bargaining which enables a sponsor to create and administer a community between participants such as buyers and sellers having similar interests, the system comprising:

A user input module configured to operate on a first computer station located at a first location and communicate with a database (see., abstract, figs 1a,1b, and 1n, col 17, lines 13-67, col 18, lines 1-67, col 19, lines 1-67, col 20, lines 1-67, col 21, lines 1-57);

1

Application/Control Number: 10/824,295

Art Unit: 3621

Said user input module being further configured to receive an input from one of plurality of users (see., abstract, figs 1a,1b, and 1n, col 17, lines 13-67, col 18, lines 1-67, col 19, lines 1-67, col 20, lines 1-67, col 21, lines 1-57);

A sale negotiation module configured to communicate with the database, and achieve a sale price for the item (see., col 8, lines 49-62);

A generator module configured to request and provide a readable medium to the user at the first location and to communicate with the database (see., abstract, figs 1a,1b, and 1n, col 17, lines 13-67, col 18, lines 1-67, col 19, lines 1-67, col 20, lines 1-67, col 21, lines 1-57);

Said medium including computer readable codes matched to the input (see., abstract, col 7, lines 59-64, specifically electronic signature or certificate, it obvious to realize that figs 1a-1n can also include a scanner). Conklin fails to explicitly disclose wherein said tracking the delivery item. However, Cole discloses a system/method for tracking an item using FEDEX. Once a seller 14 enters an input that the item was shipped by FEDEX with the tracking number which FEDEX provides the shipper, the system actually goes to FEDEX on an automated basis on a regular schedule and requests the status of the shipment from FEDEX. FEDEX automatically provides this information to the system, and a report of the status is made available to both buyer 12 and seller 14 (see., abstract, 26 [0202] - [0204].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multivariate negotiations of Conklin by including the

error in the communication attempt at a particular destination.

Art Unit: 3621

limitation detailed above as taught by Cole because this would allow seller and buyer to

track the delivery of an item.

Conklin and Cole fail to disclose the limitation wherein said module configured to request and provide to the user **within a maximum period**. Barker discloses a method for retransmitting data to a destination. A RDP module forwards any confirmation of delivery of a datagram to a user at a maximum time (see., col 7, lines 43-67, col 9, lines 22-45). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Conklin and Loeb by including the limitation detailed as taught by Barker because this would indicate when there is an

As per claim 2, Conklin discloses the claimed limitation wherein said a receiver input module accessible at a second location and configured to communicate with the database, said receiver input module being further configured to produce a delivery indicator (see., abstract, figs 1a,1b, and 1n, 17, lines 13-67, col 18, lines 1-67, col 19, lines 1-67, col 20, lines 1-67, col 21, lines 1-57).

As per claim 5, Conklin discloses the claimed limitation wherein said medium being stored in the record (abstract, figs 1a,1b, and 1n, col 17, lines 13-67, col 18, lines 1-67, col 19, lines 1-67, col 20, lines 1-67, col 21, lines 1-57).

Application/Control Number: 10/824,295

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 10, Conklin discloses the claimed limitation wherein said network comprising a plurality of interconnected networks hosting a plurality of computer workstations (see., figs 1a-1n).

Page 5

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 571 272 6706. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571 272 6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Ship Sau S. Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

February 27, 2006